1 PROCEEDINGS 2 3 COURTROOM DEPUTY CLERK: The case before the court 4 today is Case Number 5:20mj1155, United States of America 5 versus Christian Stanley Ferguson. 6 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 7 I am going to start by having counsel identify 8 themselves for the record starting with counsel for the 9 United States. 10 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 For the United States, Duncan Brown. 12 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 13 I think there's another AUSA here, but I take it 14 he is not participating in the hearing? 15 MR. RIEDL: MR. Brown will be speaking on behalf 16 of the United States. 17 It's Dan Riedl also on behalf of the United 18 States. 19 THE COURT: All right. And counsel for the 20 defendant? 21 MS. KUCHARSKI: Carolyn Kucharski on behalf of 22 Christian Ferguson. 23 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 24 I also see that we have the defendant, 25 Mr. Ferguson.

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

1 Mr. Ferguson, can you see me and hear me? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I can, ma'am. 3 THE COURT: All right. Very good. 4 We have our court reporter, Lori Callahan, here. 5 And we also have pretrial services officer, Officer Julie 6 Gray, who's on the telephone, but is not on the video. 7 I see we also have an agent who I believe is going 8 to be a government witness in this case. 9 So this is -- we're now proceeding as a 10 preliminary hearing. The purposes of a preliminary hearing 11 is to determine whether probable cause exists for the charge 12 made against this defendant. 13 I will start by having the United States Attorney 14 summarize the charges made against the defendant, as well as 15 the penalties. 16 I will confirm with Mr. Ferguson that he has 17 received a copy of the complaint and attached affidavit. 18 will also speak with him and ask him about his right to 19 counsel and then we will talk a little bit about the 20 procedure and the rules that pertain to this hearing. 21 So let's start by having Mr. Brown state the 22 charge that has been made against Mr. Ferguson and the 23 penalties for this charge. 24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 The charges are 18, United States Code, Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

1201(a)(2)(5) and (d), which are attempted kidnapping on federal territory or a special maritime or federal jurisdiction territory of a federal officer engaged in their official duties.

And the the statutory maximum period of incarceration is 20 years with a fine of \$250,000, three years of post supervised release and a \$100 special assessment.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Brown.

I am now going to speak with Mr. Ferguson about his right to counsel.

Mr. Ferguson, as I discussed with you the last time we had a hearing in this case, you do have a right to be represented by an attorney at every stage of the proceedings in the case. If you're not able to afford to hire an attorney, the court will appoint one without cost to you to represent you.

Do you understand your right to an attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And the court previously appointed the office of the Federal Public Defender to represent you.

Ms. Carolyn Kucharski from that office is here today, is on by video.

Do you understand that you are represented by Mr. Kucharski and her office?

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

(330) 252-6022

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Let me now talk a little bit about the procedure.

Mr. Ferguson, at this hearing, you do -- you have a right to cross-examine any witness that the government may present. You also have the right to present evidence in your own behalf.

You have the right to testify if you choose to, but you also have the right not to testify. You may remain silent. And you do have the right to remain silent.

You also have the right to consult with your attorney at any time during the hearing.

The Rules of Evidence prohibiting hearsay evidence do not apply. Both parties in the case have the right to proceed in whole or in part by way of proffer.

The evidence of the examinations are limited to the probable cause determination. This hearing is not any means to obtain discovery or to produce testimony. They can be used for subsequent impeachment at trial.

The court will not consider motion to suppress evidence or objections to evidence allegedly obtained unlawfully.

The order of presentation is the government will proceed first with its evidentiary presentation, and that will be followed by the defendant's presentation of evidence

1 and following that we will have brief argument from both 2 sides as to the probable cause determination. 3 So we will start with Mr. Brown. 4 Mr. Brown, you may proceed with your evidentiary 5 presentation. 6 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Good 7 afternoon. 8 (Unintelligible.) 9 THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Brown, you may 10 proceed. 11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 At this time, the government would proceed 13 entirely by proffering the complaint affidavit. 14 At this time, the government's position is that 15 the charges and the theory of the case and the grounds for 16 finding no probable cause the government argues are 17 contained in the affidavit, and we will proceed without 18 calling any further witnesses at this time. 19 THE COURT: All right. So I'd been told that you 20 were going to have a witness, but I take it that that is not 21 the case. 22 MR. BROWN: That's correct. 23 THE COURT: All right. So you are proffering the 24 complaint and the affidavit attached to the complaint or 25 proffering the affidavit, I take it?

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

1 MR. BROWN: That is correct, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: That's why I need a copy of that 3 affidavit. Hopefully I will have that momentarily. 4 Ms. Kucharski, you may present whatever evidence 5 you choose to present at this point. 6 MS. KUCHARSKI: Your Honor, since the government 7 hasn't called Special Agent Dirker, and I believe he's on 8 the video call. 9 THE COURT: I don't know that you have the correct 10 name there. 11 MS. KUCHARSKI: The complaint says it was 12 submitted by a Kirk Dirker, D-I-R-K-E-R. 13 THE COURT: That is correct, but the agent at 14 least that I can see on the video is not that agent but a 15 different agent. It appears to be Agent Ryan Taylor. 16 MS. KUCHARSKI: Well, then I would call Agent Ryan 17 Taylor if that's the agent that's available. 18 THE COURT: Okay. The agent needs to be sworn in 19 as a witness by the courtroom deputy. 20 COURTROOM DEPUTY CLERK: Would you raise your 21 right hand, please? 22 RYAN TAYLOR 23 of lawful age, a witness called by the Defendant, being 24 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 25 THE COURT: I need to step back a moment for Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

1 important stuff that I omitted at the very outset, and that 2 is to confirm that Mr. Ferguson does agree to and does 3 consent to have this preliminary hearing conducted by video 4 conference. 5 So, Ms. Kucharski, did you have an opportunity to 6 discuss with your client his opportunity or his right to 7 have an in-person hearing, but that he also has a right to 8 waive the in-person hearing and to consent to having the 9 hearing conducted by video conference? 10 MS. KUCHARSKI: Your Honor, based on the pandemic 11 situation, he's prepared to waive an in-person hearing and 12 proceed by way of video. 13 THE COURT: And, Mr. Ferguson, can you confirm 14 that you do consent to this preliminary hearing conducted by 15 video conference? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. Very good. 18 I apologize, Ms. Kucharski. We had Agent Taylor 19 sworn in, and you are about to begin your questions. 20 MS. KUCHARSKI: Yes. And I think the feed will 21 come through better if, Duncan, if you mute, and then if you 22 need to speak, you unmute. 23 Are you muted? 24 Okay. Thanks. 25 MR. BROWN: Muted.

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

(330) 252-6022

1 MS. KUCHARSKI: Thank you. 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF RYAN TAYLOR 3 BY MS. KUCHARSKI: 4 Q. Agent Taylor, can you state your name, and tell the 5 court what your employment position is. 6 Yes, ma'am. My name is Ryan Taylor. I'm a special 7 agent with the Cleveland FBI. I have been with the FBI for 8 approximately 11 years, and I work on the domestic terrorism 9 squad, along with our special agent bomb technicians in the 10 office and also a member of the FBI SWAT team. 11 Q. And are you the lead investigator in this criminal 12 complaint that was filed against Christian Ferguson? 13 I was one of the co-case agents. 14 What exactly does that mean? Q. 15 Α. So Special Agent Dirk Kirker was the lead investigator, 16 and I was his assistant investigator. 17 Q. Are you familiar with all aspects of this investigation 18 in the criminal complaint that was prepared? 19 A. Yes, I am. 20 Have you had an opportunity to review all of the 21 evidence and the criminal complaint prior to your testimony 22 today? 23 A. I have been able to. 24 And is there a reason why Special Agent Dirker isn't 25 present today and you're present on his behalf?

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

(330) 252-6022

1 MR. BROW: I would object, Your Honor. 2 Your Honor, I would object to that question. 3 THE COURT: All right. We got it now. 4 Mr. Kucharski, I don't believe that's an 5 appropriate question for this witness, so I would uphold the 6 objection. 7 BY MS. KUCHARSKI: 8 Special Agent Taylor, do you have a copy of the 9 complaint with you today? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. And going to page 3 of that criminal complaint, it's 12 not a full paragraph, the sixth line of that -- of the first 13 few sentences on page 3 of that criminal complaint, do you 14 see that last sentence that starts halfway through line 3 15 where it says, "In screen shots provided to the FBI by 16 complainant, CF-Discord, later identified as Ferguson, 17 expressed a desire to call in a false in-progress call to 18 the police in order to lure law enforcement to a remote 19 location where they could be robbed of their weapons and 20 body armor and possibly killed."

Do you see that sentence?

A. Yes.

21

22

23

24

25

Q. So this tip that came into the FBI came in by somebody who was a participant in a group chat with Christian Ferguson, correct?

- 1 Α. Yes. 2 And how many people were a part of that group chat? 3 What did your investigation find with respect to that? 4 MR. BROWN: Objection. If he knows. 5 THE COURT: You can answer if you know, Agent. 6 THE WITNESS: I do not know. 7 BY MS. KUCHARSKI: 8 Q. You don't know how many people were involved in the 9 group chat? 10 A. I don't know who else was on with the complainant at 11 that time. 12 Well, in the course of investigation screen shots that 13 you reviewed regarding this case, how many participants were 14 in the group chat that you reviewed with respect to this 15 participate -- criminal complaint? 16 MR. BROWN: Again, Your Honor, I'm going to object 17 unless this is somehow tied to the actions of May 8. 18 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule your objection. 19 The affidavit goes beyond. It doesn't -- you 20 know, it's not limited to May 8, so I think that whatever is 21 in the affidavit, which is deemed to support the criminal 22 complaint, is fair game. 23 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: I am sorry, Ms. Kucharski, we may have
 - Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

-- do you want to repeat the question?

1 MS. KUCHARSKI: Sure. 2 BY MS. KUCHARSKI: 3 When you have been investigating the actions that are 4 detailed in this criminal complaint, how many group 5 participants were there based on what's in the criminal 6 complaint? 7 There were several participants on the Discord chat. 8 do not have an exact number based on the number of channels 9 and being a social media site. I don't have that exact 10 number for you. 11 Q. Well, when you say several, are we talking more than 12 five, between five to ten, less than five, what? 13 Approximately? 14 I believe you're correct. More than five. 15 Q. And in the course of your investigation, was it 16 determined who initiated this group chat? 17 A. Yes. Christian Ferguson explained to us that he was 18 the creator of the group chat. 19 And do you have any independent proof of that as far as 20 like computer evidence or anything like that? 21 We have his messages that he posted, and through his 22 interview, he also confirmed that he created the site and 23 that they -- it was his user name and also the site that he

24

25

was using.

1 that the people who came to be part of this group chat were 2 also people who are on military gaming platforms? 3 Do you know what I mean when I say that? 4 A. I don't know if I fully know what you mean. 5 I know -- I know of military gaming platforms that 6 people can log in and play different games, if that's what 7 you are referring to. 8 Q. Yeah. Like Call of Duty, or I think there's one called 9 Battlefield. There's a bunch of military type gaming video 10 platforms. 11 You're aware of those, correct? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And are you aware in the course of your investigation 14 that these people came together through that gaming 15 platform? 16 MR. BROWN: Objection, Your Honor. 17 This calls into facts that he has not testified he 18 has knowledge of, about other people's actions. 19 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I was mute. 20 I was asking Lori if you could read back the 21 question that was pending. 22 (Thereupon, the record was read back as requested.) 23 THE COURT: I think that the witness is now muted. 24 THE WITNESS: I am sorry, Your Honor. 25 Can you hear me now? Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

1 THE COURT: Yes. 2 THE WITNESS: I am not aware that they came 3 together through that gaming. 4 BY MS. KUCHARSKI: 5 Q. All right. You're not saying that is not the reason. 6 You're just not aware if it was the reason? 7 MR. BROWN: Objection, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Well, he could say if -- I think in 9 effect he's already answered it, but go ahead. 10 You could give your -- if you don't know, you 11 don't know. You can say that. 12 THE WITNESS: Correct, Your Honor. 13 I don't know. I can't say one way or another 14 whether the gaming site was what brought them together. 15 BY MS. KUCHARSKI: 16 Q. And you're aware in the interview that was conducted 17 with Mr. Ferguson that he had a desire to join the military, 18 correct? 19 A. Yes. 20 And you knew that not only from him but from family 21 members you spoke to, as well, or other agents involved in 22 the investigation you spoke to, correct? 23 I did not learn that from family members, but I was 24 aware that he tried to join the military. 25 Q. Is it fair to say that in the complaint, the complaint

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

(330) 252-6022

- 1 is just -- it doesn't include the entire case investigation,
 2 correct?
- 3 A. That is correct.
- Q. All right. We just have bits and pieces of the conversations that were had on this, I guess, app, this Discord app, correct?
 - A. Yes, that's correct. Not everything is in there.
 - Q. Okay. On page 3, when you first get into the -- in paragraph 7, when it talks about chats that you reviewed from March 21 of 2020, it states in there that this is kind of just a summary of what was written, correct?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, do you see that first quote, it says,

 "Either we can subdue them or we perform a sync shot."
- Do you see where that starts there?
- 16 A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

17

18

- Q. Then it goes to say, "If you shoot, shoot to kill because they will. If we can keep one or two alive to get answers, great, but it's not an objective."
- 20 Do you see that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Was it ever determined in the course of yourinvestigation what was being referred to by answers?
- A. So later on in the investigation, especially on the day where he specifically went out to conduct an active recon,

in preparation for the ambush and assault, he did mention that if they were able to keep any police officers alive, I think at one point, he said if more showed up, if they kill three, they wanted to keep at least one alive so that they could ask that person specifically intelligence questions, along with -- I think just general questions on equipment that's in the police car, but he did keep referencing keeping one alive to at least try to get the intel from him.

- Q. And this date, this March 21 of 2020 date, this is conversation or text conversation that you are reviewing before the tip came in this April, correct?
- **A.** If you are referring to page 3, that paragraph in there?
- Q. Yes.

- A. That's from the complainant so that was what was sent to the FBI. The complainant called us and was concerned about the things obviously that were written there, the fact of going after cops, the complainant sent that to us, and this is what we reviewed that they sent to us.
- Q. Okay. And that was reviewed obviously after that conversation had taken place, correct?
- A. That is correct. We were not monitoring that realtime at the time.
- Q. Okay. And this complainant was someone who was a participant within the group chat.

1 That's why they had access to those messages, correct? 2 A. Yes, I believe so. 3 Now, in paragraph 9 on page 4, it starts off that there 4 were "Various investigative steps that the FBI took to 5 identify CF-Discord," who you later determined to be 6 Christian Ferguson. 7 What were those steps that you are referencing there? MR. BROWN: Objection, if he knows all the steps. 8 9 THE COURT: Well, he can only answer what he 10 I'm not going to -- the witness should not 11 speculate. 12 So you may answer as to what you know. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 14 I know we used -- we have analysts that will do 15 social media searches and look on line trying to look at 16 similar user names. We also have confidential human sources 17 that we can ask questions of if they're familiar with names 18 or other individuals on line. 19 We also query databases that we already have, both 20 local, state and federal databases, to see if there were 21 previous investigations with names related. 22 BY MS. KUCHARSKI: 23 Q. Okay. Those are some of the steps that you use? 24 Yes, those would be some of the steps. 25 All right. With respect to -- well, all of the Q.

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

(330) 252-6022

1 conversations that's listed out in the complaint, that's 2 prior to April 3. 3 That's prior to any human source being introduced into 4 the investigation, correct? 5 THE COURT: It might be helpful if you would tell 6 him what you are referring to. 7 Are there paragraphs that you are referring to 8 here? 9 BY MS. KUCHARSKI: 10 Q. Well, the complainant called into the FBI on April 3, 11 correct? 12 A. Checking on the exact dates that's listed in the 13 complaint. I believe that --14 Q. That's page 2. Page 2. 15 Α. Yes. I have on or about April 3, 2020, the FBI 16 received a call-in complaint from a civilian who was later 17 referenced as the complainant named in the complaint. 18 Q. Okay. And then the complainant who called in that tip 19 to the FBI, is that the person that you ultimately 20 cultivated as one of the two confidential human sources that 21 are --22 MR. BROWN: Objection, objection. 23 THE COURT: Sustain that objection. 24 BY MS. KUCHARSKI: 25 Q. Well, ultimately, Special Agent Taylor, there are two

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

(330) 252-6022

1 confidential human sources who become a part of this 2 investigation, correct? 3 That is correct. 4 Q. All right. There's basically -- is it three meetings 5 that take place in total, three in-person meetings? 6 That is correct. 7 Q. I just want to clarify something in the complaint, and 8 I believe it starts on page 6. 9 There's a reference on page 6 in paragraph 18 to 10 Discord 1, and then I think later there might be a Discord 11 2. Maybe not. Yeah, there is. 12 There's a Discord 1 and a Discord 2 that are listed in 13 the complaint. And my question is, are Discord 1 and 14 Discord 2 separate people from confidential human source 1 15 and confidential human source 2? 16 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I am going to object. 17 Footnote 7 is there to identify why Discord 1 and 2 were 18 used, so I object to this question. 19 THE COURT: Okay. The footnote that you are 20 referring to talks about screen names are known, but because 21 of an ongoing investigation are used to protect 22 investigative measures. 23 Your objection is to the question asking whether 24 the persons with the screen names Discord 1 and Discord 2

are also confidential human sources; is that correct?

1 Is that what you are objecting to? 2 MR. BROWN: Yes, Source 1 and Source 2. 3 THE COURT: Okay. As to which sources? I thought 4 there were two. 5 MS. KUCHARSKI: And, Your Honor, the reason for 6 the question is because it's confusing to me in reading the 7 criminal complaint because they don't just name somebody 8 either as Discord 1 or Discord 2. They interchange Discord 9 1 and Discord 2, and then there's a Confidential Human 10 Source 1 and a Confidential Human Source 2. 11 So I don't know if those two people are the same 12 people, or if there's four people. It's unclear to me. And 13 someone needs to clear it up for me. 14 THE COURT: I don't know if that matters, though, 15 does it? 16 MR. BROWN: It's not relevant to probable cause. 17 THE COURT: I don't think so. I am going to 18 sustain the objection. 19 BY MS. KUCHARSKI: 20 Q. As far as the first meeting, Special Agent, that 21 meeting takes place -- I should say the first meeting, is 22 the FBI monitoring that first in-person meeting? 23 Α. We had agents in the area, yes. 24 Q. And what's the date of that first meeting? 25 I know the second meeting is May 2, and the third Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

1 meeting is May 8 when he's arrested. 2 Do you know what the first date of the meeting is, the 3 in-person meeting? 4 A. I am not 100 percent sure. I believe it was the 5 weekend prior, so April 25. 6 So that first meeting -- would it be fair to say that 7 that first meeting just entailed Mr. Ferguson and one of the 8 confidential sources? 9 MR. BROWN: Objection, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Sustained. 11 BY MS. KUCHARSKI: 12 Q. That first meeting was approximately 15 minutes? 13 MR. BROWN: Objection, Your Honor. 14 And I'm going to raise a standing objection to 15 anything about the first meeting. It's not in the 16 affidavit. 17 Ms. Kucharski already established that there are 18 facts in this investigation that are not in the affidavit, 19 and this is just to establish the probable cause as set 20 forth in the affidavit. 21 THE COURT: I am looking, as the questioning is 22 going on here, to see if I can find a reference to the first 23 meeting.

refers to the last two meetings, one on May 2 and one on May

So I do see that there's -- in paragraph 25, it

24

- 8. I am looking before that to see where the first meetingis referred to.
- 3 Is it, in fact, referred to in this affidavit,
- 4 Ms. Kucharski?
- 5 I am seeing it discusses postings and
- 6 conversations or discusses where he posts.
- 7 BY MS. KUCHARSKI:
- **Q.** I will move on to the meeting on May 2.
- 9 Are you familiar with that meeting, Special Agent
- 10 Taylor?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And did you review all the text messages that went into
- setting up that meeting?
- 14 A. I don't believe there were text messages. I believe
- 15 there were messaging on a private discord, but I did review
- 16 the messages back and forth.
- 17 Q. Okay. That would have set up that meeting?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Okay. And that meeting occurred at the Cuyahoga Valley
- 20 National Park, correct?
- 21 A. No, that's incorrect.
- 22 Q. Oh, I'm sorry.
- That's the meeting that took place in Lorain, correct?
- 24 A. It was Belden Park.
- **Q.** And that's out in Lorain?

1 A. I believe the park is actually on the border there. 2 Q. It's in Lorain County. I am sorry. 3 And that's indicated on page 9 of the criminal 4 complaint, Camp Belden Wildlife in Lorain County? 5 A. That is correct. 6 Q. And in reviewing the conversations that went to set up 7 that meeting, they discuss going to a shooting range, 8 correct? 9 MR. BROWN: Objection. THE COURT: I am sorry. You are saying in -- can you repeat that question again, Ms. Kucharski? 12 MS. KUCHARSKI: Yes. 13 BY MS. KUCHARSKI: Q. When that meeting was set up for May 2 with Mr. Ferguson and the confidential human source, the course 16 of those discussions were talking about meeting at a 17 shooting range, correct? MR. BROWN: Objection. Could you point to the paragraph from the affidavit where that's discussed? MS. KUCHARSKI: It's not in the affidavit. 22 I am asking him a question in the course of his 23 investigation. 24 MR. BROWN: Then I'm going to raise my objection 25 that the defendant -- the defense attorney has already asked

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

(330) 252-6022

10

11

14

15

18

19

20

the witness if there are facts that weren't included in the affidavit, he said yes, there were.

But this hearing is about what's contained in the affidavit to establish probable cause.

THE COURT: Well, I think it's permissible for her to make that inquiry, because I mean he recognizes that there were facts not included in the affidavit that were part of the investigation.

I think she can ask that question. I don't think she's necessarily restricted to the four corners of the affidavit. Maybe he knows, maybe he doesn't know.

You may answer, Agent Taylor.

THE WITNESS: What is your specific question?

It was the firearms range?

BY MS. KUCHARSKI:

Q. Yeah. On May 2.

On that meeting, it was discussed that they were going to go to a shooting range, correct?

- A. To the best I recall, I believe they talked about it.

 There was a shooting range out there. There was a

 discussion where Camp Belden had a shooting range, but there

 was a conversation on whether or not that location had a

 shooting range.
- Q. Okay. And, in fact, there was no shooting that was ever done on that date, correct?

1 MR. BROWN: Objection, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: He can answer if he knows. 3 THE WITNESS: That is correct. No shooting 4 occurred on that day. 5 BY MS. KUCHARSKI: 6 Q. Okay. And in the course of any of this investigation, 7 you don't have any evidence that Mr. Ferguson ever fired his 8 firearm in the presence of any of these confidential 9 sources, correct? 10 MR. BROWN: Again, Your Honor, I'm going to 11 object. 12 This is a probable cause hearing for an attempted 13 kidnapping, not a firearms or even a murder charge. This is 14 attempted kidnapping. 15 So we would object to the relevance of this line 16 of questioning. 17 THE COURT: I understand your objection, but I 18 will permit it. 19 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I'm not aware -- I'm 20 sorry, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: I was going to say, it might be more 22 relevant if we're talking about a detention hearing, but I 23 am going to permit the question. 24 THE WITNESS: I am not aware of him shooting the 25 firearm in front of the confidential human source.

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

1 BY MS. KUCHARSKI:

4

- 2 Q. That's the Confidential Human Source 1 or 2, correct?
- 3 A. That is correct.
 - I'm not aware of him firing any in front of either one of those confidential human sources.
- Q. And on the day that he was arrested, the May 8 date, he didn't even have a firearm on his person or in his car, or you never located any firearm at the Cuyahoga Valley
- 9 National Park when he was arrested, correct?
- 10 A. That is correct.
- 11 Q. And he didn't have any ammunition on him on May 8 either?
- 13 A. No, his ammunition was in the rifle back at his house.
- Q. So it wasn't with him at the park where you arrested him, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. And the purpose, according to the criminal complaint that was prepared, the purpose of going to the park was to do a dry run, right?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- Q. On that day, on May 8, are you aware of whetherConfidential Source 1 or 2 had their firearms?
- 23 A. They did not have their firearms during the dry run, 24 that's correct.
- Q. In the course of your investigation, can you tell the

 Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

1 court who suggested that location of Cuyahoga Valley 2 National Park? 3 Was that a location that Mr. Ferguson suggested, or was 4 that a location that one of the human sources suggested? 5 A. That was one of the human sources suggested. 6 Q. Okay. And without that suggestion, there's no federal 7 jurisdiction potentially, correct? 8 A. I can't say. 9 MR. BROWN: Object, Your Honor. I am sorry. I 10 objected before he answered. I was hitting my unmute 11 button. 12 THE COURT: I will sustain that objection. 13 That isn't a question that this witness should be 14 asked. 15 BY MS. KUCHARSKI: 16 Q. On May 8, the day of Mr. Ferguson's arrest, he drove 17 out to the park, but then ultimately left his car and got 18 into a car driven by one of the sources, correct? 19 A. Yes, that is correct. 20 And the source then took him to a location where they 21 were going to do this dry run, correct? 22 A. Yes. 23 So the source is the person that picked the location

within the park, correct?

24

1 Q. And are you aware of whether or not Mr. Ferguson had 2 ever been to the Cuyahoga Valley National Park prior to this 3 day? 4 MR. BROWN: Objection. 5 THE COURT: I think it's permissible. 6 If he knows the answer, he can answer. 7 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I'm not aware whether 8 he's been there before or not. 9 BY MS. KUCHARSKI: 10 Q. And in the criminal complaint, it talks about the hoax 11 call being placed on May 8, correct? 12 Α. Where are you referencing in the complaint? 13 Q. Okay. That would be on page 14, paragraph 46. 14 MR. BROWN: Objection, Your Honor, both to 15 relevance and there's no question. It's just a reference. 16 THE COURT: Ms. Callahan, could you read back 17 whatever it was the last statement by Ms. Kucharski? 18 (Thereupon, the record was read back as requested.) 19 THE COURT: And so you are making a statement, 20 Ms. Kucharski. 21 I think I agree with Mr. Brown. It's not a 22 question. It's a statement. 23 MS. KUCHARSKI: I was directing him to the 24 complaint, so I could ask him a question about that. 25 THE COURT: But you didn't reference the paragraph Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022

1 you are talking about. So if you want to direct him to 2 paragraph 46, go ahead. 3 BY MS. KUCHARSKI: 4 Q. Can you look at paragraph 46, Special Agent Taylor? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. And it doesn't state in that paragraph who actually 7 placed that call, correct? 8 MR. BROWN: Objection. 9 THE COURT: Again, that's a statement rather than 10 a question, but I think it's permissible. 11 She's -- you know, she's cross-examining the 12 witness. 13 It's fine. I mean, he can look at the paragraph 14 as well. We can all look at the paragraph. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, I agree. 16 BY MS. KUCHARSKI: 17 Q. And Mr. Ferguson is not the one that placed that phone 18 call? 19 A. Correct. 20 Q. And the agents who responded to that call, were they 21 aware of your surveillance of what was going on that day? 22 Were they aware that this hoax call could potentially 23 come in? 24 MR. BROWN: Objection. Asking what other people 25 knew or did not know.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I think it isn't worth very much, because he doesn't necessarily know, but he can answer to the best of his ability, Agent Taylor, but if you don't know, you don't know. THE WITNESS: So are you asking the other rangers that responded, were they aware? BY MS. KUCHARSKI: Q. Yes. Α. Yes. Q. And then Mr. Ferguson was arrested shortly after that, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, in the course of this investigation, the confidential human source, or at least one of them, talks with Mr. Ferguson about helping him or help -- or teaching him certain military tactical maneuvers, correct? MR. BROWN: Objection. Relevance to this hearing. THE COURT: I think potentially it could be relevant. I don't know. It could be relevant to a kidnapping. I'm not sure. But he can answer it. THE WITNESS: Mr. Ferguson did ask the confidential human source to help or with certain military knowledge and training, yes. BY MS. KUCHARSKI: Q. And that's because the confidential human source

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

(330) 252-6022

```
1
       portrayed themselves as somebody with a military background,
 2
       correct?
 3
                 MR. BROWN: Objection.
 4
                 THE COURT: I will let him answer.
 5
                 THE WITNESS: Yes.
6
       BY MS. KUCHARSKI:
7
       Q. So, Agent Taylor, on May 8, 2020, Mr. Ferguson never
       seized any law enforcement, correct?
 8
9
        A. That is correct.
10
        Q. He saw --
11
                 MR. BROWN: I am sorry. I just -- was it sees,
12
       S-E-E-S, or S-E-I-Z-E-D?
13
                 MS. KUCHARSKI: S-E-I-Z-E-D.
14
       BY MS. KUCHARSKI:
15
       Q. Is that how you understood it, Special Agent Taylor?
16
        A. Yes.
17
        Q. And Mr. Ferguson never made the hoax call that day,
18
       correct?
19
        A. Are you asking did he dial the number?
20
        Q. Yes.
21
        A. He did not, no.
22
        Q. Okay. He -- Mr. Ferguson never kidnapped anybody that
23
       day, correct?
24
        A. That was not the operational day, no.
25
        Q. Okay. He never abducted anybody or carried anybody
```

Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR

(330) 252-6022

- 1 away, correct? 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. And, in fact, he didn't even have any weapons or 4 firearms on his person in that national park that day, 5 correct? 6 A. That is correct. 7 MS. KUCHARSKI: I have nothing further. 8 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Brown, do you have any 9 I suppose redirect for this witness? 10 MR. BROWN: Just a few, Your Honor. Thank you. 11 REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF RYAN TAYLOR 12 BY MR. BROWN: 13 Q. Special Agent Taylor, from March 21st to the May 8 14 arrest, did the defendant talk about having a hoax distress 15 call made as part of his plan to kidnap a federal agent --16 or law enforcement? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And, in fact, on multiple occasions did he talk about 19 having a hoax distress call made as a way to lure officers 20 to an area? 21 A. Yes. 22
 - Q. And, in fact, on May 8, was the fact that a hoax call was made consistent with the plan that the defendant repeatedly talked about both in Discord and in person?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. On May 8, was there an agreement among the CHS's and the defendant about carrying firearms?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. What was that agreement to the best of your knowledge?
- A. So the confidential human sources and Mr. Ferguson had agreed, because it was the dry run, they did not want to get caught in the national park by park rangers or another law enforcement in the area with any type of weapon on them. So they agreed that for the dry run, they were going to what's called a sanitary run, no knives, no guns, no weapons so that they can move freely in and about the woods.

They were also concerned because it was hunting season and things like that, and they didn't want to be caught in the woods with a weapon.

- Q. And did the defendant object to this plan?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. In fact, did he agree to this plan?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Have a sanitary run?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. In fact, at this time, did he possess a firearm that you know of?
- 23 A. A possessed firearm on him in the park or --
- Q. No, just in general.
- 25 A. Sorry. You are coming in a little broken there.

- Q. But not in the park, but in general did he possess a firearm?
- 3 A. That is correct.
 - Q. What kind of firearm?
- 5 A. He had an AR-15 rifle.
- Q. Did he make any comments about an AR-15 while he was in the park on May 8?

Did he make a comment about putting one round in a law enforcement officer's head and having 29 left over?

A. Yes.

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

- Q. Did he talk about setting up sniper positions on hills or in one of the houses, so if law enforcement came up from that way, he could shoot at them?
- **14 A.** Yes.
 - Q. So based on your training and experience and knowledge of this case, was a firearm part of a plan to kidnap or a plan to carry out a kidnapping, even though he didn't have a firearm during the dry run?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Did he did -- do you know if he, in fact, stayed around and watched to see if officers responded to the fake distress call on May 8?
- 23 A. That is correct, he did.
- Q. And did he, in fact, make any statements before he was arrested about what he saw?

- A. He made -- they talked about from where he was from his observation, how many vehicles, how many officers he thought had responded to the scene, along with the -- which way they came in and also noting the time.
- Q. Now, Special Agent Taylor, based on your review of the Discord chats and the in-person conversations with CHS, was the -- were the actions taken by the group on the dry run consistent with the planning and the steps suggested by the defendant during those chats and conversations?

A. Yes.

MR. BROWN: No further questions, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. I believe that concludes the questioning of this witness.

So, Officer Taylor, you can leave if you wish to, but you don't have to.

At this time, we will hear brief argument from both sides as to the probable cause determination, keeping in mind that the standard is a totality of the circumstances, standard, that is, given all the circumstances, is there a fair probability that the accused has committed the crime that he is charged with.

And in this case, he's charged with attempted kidnapping under Title 18, United States Code, Section 1201(a)(2)(5) and (d).

All right. So starting with counsel for the United States.

MR. BROWN: Thank Your, Your Honor.

Your Honor, the government's position is that the standard for proceeding to the grand jury has been met.

Certainly viewing the totality of the circumstances as contained in the affidavit and also the testimony provided today by Special Agent Taylor, the elements to support a probable cause finding of attempted kidnapping of both, an act occurring on the federal -- on federal property and two federal officers has been met.

The affidavit and also Special Agent Taylor testified that the chats began in March, proceeded through April. And certainly as stated in the affidavit, there were multiple statements of planning and steps that the defendant felt should be taken centered around going to a remote location, the use of weapons, the need of weapons, and also the commitment of actions that were going to be necessary to effectuate this kidnapping and then ultimate death.

The defendant talked about immobilizing agents or law enforcement officers, either through the use of force or threatened use of force, that he talked about how to lure them out so their defenses would be down, where they would be less suspecting of what was going to happen, like a distress call, and I believe he said that a female calling

would achieve that even better than the male calling.

And then because of the remote location, they would be able to detain, seize their weapons, seize them, and if need be, dispose of their bodies.

So those chats were fairly and consistently put out there on the Discord chat from March through April and also repeated to the confidential human source on May 2 at Belden Park.

Furthermore, on the day of the dry run, they did talk about and agree to having a dry run, one without weapons so they wouldn't get caught, so they would be able to practice at a location what they thought they wanted to do and how they would react.

They, in fact, took all the steps remotely. They staggered the location. They placed the distress call. And during the scouting time, the defendant made statements consistent with the plans he had been making from March until May 8 and also consistent with the idea that this was a dry run in preparation for what they were going to do, the kidnapping and any other acts that they were going to have to take, including threats or actual physical force, violence, including death.

Your Honor, the fact that he did not have a firearm, I think, is -- if anything, it actually supports the steps of planning that was represented. This was a dry

run, and as Special Agent Taylor testified, the lack of weapons was important to the planning stage of this as a dry run so they didn't get caught, so that they did have time to make the hoax call, to see the response, so they would know the next time that this happens, they would be able to respond the way they wanted to, and they would be able to control the attempted -- well, at that point, a kidnapping, because this is the attempt.

Your Honor, set forth I think over the span of the 15 pages is more than enough facts of planning, follow-through and coordination that supports a finding of probable cause for the charges of 1201(a)(2) and (a)(5) and (d), the attempted kidnapping.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Kucharski.

MS. KUCHARSKI: Thank you, Your Honor.

Obviously, Your Honor, in these types of situations, the defense is always at a handicap coming in when we don't have the entire conversations, but we have snippets here and there and just bits and pieces that the government decides to craft together in a criminal complaint to support probable cause.

And, you know, we do agree, probable cause is just that, probable cause. You know, it doesn't require, at this stage, proof beyond a reasonable doubt. It's basically, I

guess if you're going to look at it in percentage-wise, you know, anything over 50 percent, 51 percent or higher.

I just point out that the defense is at a severe disadvantage because we don't have the entire conversations, but we do know little snippets here and there that a confidential human source, not just one, but two were introduced in this case.

We know that the confidential human source is the one that picked the location that had Mr. Ferguson meet him there, that once they met there was then -- Mr. Ferguson was then driven to another location, and that was all at the doing of the confidential source.

There were no firearms. Nobody was seized. Nobody was kidnapped. Nobody was abducted.

So right now, this is a lot of talk, but really with no action that really substantiates anything by

Mr. Ferguson, because Mr. Ferguson is not the one who placed the hoax call. Certainly he was there.

And right now, the complaint is crafted as an attempted kidnapping, but I would submit to the court that the government hasn't presented probable cause on an attempted kidnapping based on the fact that Mr. Ferguson didn't place the hoax call and Mr. Ferguson was not armed with any type of weapon, and he was brought to that location by the confidential human source.

So for those reasons, we would ask the court to dismiss the complaint.

THE COURT: All right. As I indicated earlier, the standard for determining probable cause is the totality of the circumstances.

In this case, circumstances are those set forth in the affidavit attached to the criminal complaint, as well as in the additional testimony here today from the agent.

And the charge here is not kidnapping. It is attempted kidnapping. And that obviously is a difference. I understand that defendant feels that they're handicapped without having all the information that law enforcement has or not -- don't have access currently to all of the conversations, the totality of the conversations.

That is a situation that, of course, it's not unique to this case, but probably in most probable cause determinations following the filing of the criminal complaint. That situation does exist.

The court must do the best it can based on what is before it.

The fact that the defendant did not place the call, I think, is not really all that persuasive, although, a great deal of weight is placed on it by defense counsel.

If the defendant was the architect of the overall plan and the persons accompanying him were charged with

carrying out pieces of the plan, it doesn't matter, I don't believe, that the defendant did not personally make the call.

So the defendant had apparently, according to the evidence as we understand it, outlined a plan. He's done that not just on one occasion, but had been consistent over the course of a couple months in developing that plan that would result in a kidnapping and potential harm to law enforcement.

He had gone so far as to, you know, detail some of the pieces of the plan that he felt were necessary. It would be necessary to have firearms. He did, in fact, have an assault rifle and he had ammunition for the assault rifle.

Again, I don't think it's particularly important or persuasive that he didn't have that assault rifle with him on the occasion of the dry run. The fact that the defendant and the confidential sources elected and decided not to have weapons with them on that dry run doesn't take away from the evidence as the overall plan and to the part that the dry run played in the overall plan. The dry run was a dry run. It was not actual implementation of the final objective of the plan.

The fact that a dry run occurred at all, I think, is consistent with the defendant having a number of

communications, outlined what he felt was the way that this should be carried out and that is there needed to be a call that was a hoax call, in effect, that would be made that would draw law enforcement to a remote location.

I understand that he did not select the precise location where the dry run was done, but he did describe what he felt needed to be in place. They needed a remote location. They needed a call to be made that would draw law enforcement. Those things were done on May 8 to draw law enforcement. They didn't have firearms with them because it was a dry run. I don't think there's anything inconsistent about that.

You know, I can see where later on during the course of this case, there may be defenses that the defendant can attempt to flush out and develop more facts on with regard to his role versus the respective roles of the confidential human sources.

But at this juncture, I think that the prosecution has presented enough to constitute probable cause that the crime was committed and that it was committed by this defendant.

Accordingly, this case -- you know, I will find that probable cause has been demonstrated for the charge made against this defendant.

The defendant has waived his right to a detention

hearing. So at this time, Mr. Ferguson, you will be detained pending further proceedings in the case.

MS. KUCHARSKI: Your Honor, I just want to clarify for the record.

Mr. Ferguson has waived detention at this juncture because we're trying to secure a location for him to go to because the residence of his father right now, his father has to leave and relocate, and I did discuss this with the government, and the government is aware that once the father or another location is secured, that the defendant, Mr. Ferguson, will be presenting to the court a request for bond at that time.

So I just wanted to be clear on any form that he signs that this was discussed with the government and they are aware that this will be coming and they don't have an objection to us bringing up the issue on that later date.

It's not to say that they will agree he will get a bond, but they're aware that it will be presented.

THE COURT: So if his circumstances should change, he certainly can raise that at a later date. And I take it that's what you are saying. You believe there may be a change in the circumstances such that while he doesn't have a place to go right now, he may have a place to go some point in the future.

MS. KUCHARSKI: That's correct.

1	THE COURT: Okay. I understand what you're			
2	saying.			
3	He probably did he sign a waiver form, but it			
4	generally does contain the language that he reserves the			
5	right to raise the issue at a later date if his			
6	circumstances should change. That's usually in that form.			
7	MS. KUCHARSKI: And I don't know because I didn't			
8	see the form, but Heather did indicate that she was going to			
9	send a form down for him to sign. So I just thought it best			
10	that I place something on the record should there be a			
11	question about it later.			
12	THE COURT: That's the standard form.			
13	Okay. Was there anything else?			
14	MS. KUCHARSKI: No, Your Honor.			
15	THE COURT: Okay. Anything from the government?			
16	MR. BROWN: Sorry, Your Honor.			
17	Nothing from the government. Thank you.			
18	THE COURT: All right. And, Mr. Ferguson, you			
19	will be remanded to the custody of the United States			
20	marshal.			
21	Mr. Ferguson looks like he was waving his hand.			
22	Do you wish to speak with your attorney,			
23	Mr. Ferguson?			
24	THE DEFENDANT: I am not sure if I am allowed in			
25	front of anyone, or should I talk to my attorney before I			
	Lori A. Callahan, RMR-CRR (330) 252-6022			

1 say it? 2 THE COURT: I don't know what you're going to say. 3 MS. KUCHARSKI: I would prefer that you not say 4 anything at this juncture, and you and I will talk after 5 this hearing. 6 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 7 THE COURT: So at this time, he will be remanded 8 to the custody of the United States Marshals pending further 9 proceedings. 10 And that concludes this hearing. Thank you. 11 CERTIFICATE 12 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript 13 from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled 14 matter. 15 s/Lori A. Callahan Lori Ann Callahan, RMR-CRR 16 U.S. District Court, Suite 568 2 South Main Street 17 Akron, Ohio 44308 (330) 252-6022 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Case: 5:20-cr-00262-SO Doc #: 74 Filed: 12/14/21 47 of 47. PageID #: 544

			4	17
1	INDEX			
2				
3		1.0	•	
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF RYAN TAYLOR BY MS. KUCHARSKI		2	
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF RYAN TAYLOR BY MR. BROWN	33	11	
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				